

The Relationship between Temporomandibular Joint Ankylosis and Condylar Fractures

Xing LONG¹

Trauma is the predominant causal factor for temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis. However, the relationship between condylar fracture and TMJ ankylosis is complicated. It is believed that post-traumatic TMJ ankylosis arises from TMJ intracapsular changes, including damaged cartilage, displaced or disrupted discs, haematoma formation and subsequent fibrosis and calcification in the joint. In this review, the relationship between TMJ ankylosis and condylar fracture is discussed based on clinical characteristics and animal studies. The management of TMJ ankylosis is also reviewed and discussed.

Key words: temporomandibular joint, ankylosis, condylar fracture

The relationship between temporomandibular joint (TMJ) ankylosis and condylar fracture remains controversial. Although trauma is the predominant causal factor for TMJ ankylosis, the relationship between condylar fracture and TMJ ankylosis can not be clearly explained. Some authors have suggested that post-traumatic TMJ ankylosis arises from TMJ intracapsular changes, including damaged cartilage, displaced or disrupted discs, haematoma formation and subsequent fibrosis and calcification in the joint¹. There are numerous reports that discuss risk factors related to post-traumatic TMJ ankylosis. However, almost no studies have focused on the pathogenesis of this condition². Ferretti et al evaluated the joint morphology of TMJ ankylosis patients using coronal computed tomography and suggested that a medially dislocated condylar fracture was more likely to cause TMJ ankylosis than other condylar fractures³.

Here we discuss the relationship between TMJ ankylosis and condylar fracture from basic and clinical studies.

Ankylosis of TMJ

Ankylosis is divided into intracapsular and extracapsular ankylosis. Most cases of extracapsular ankylosis do not involve TMJ structure. Intracapsular TMJ ankylosis affects TMJ structure, and even surrounding tissue, including the fibrous adhesions or bony fusion; it can be caused by trauma, infections and previous surgical treatment^{4,5}.

The infectious factors include local or systemic diseases such as mastoiditis and otitis media; the incidence of TMJ ankylosis of infective origin has decreased due to modern antibiotic therapy. Trauma, frequently associated with condylar fractures, has now become the most common cause of TMJ ankylosis. It has been hypothesised that intra-articular haematoma, scarring and the formation of fibrous tissue and bone fusion of the condyle, disc and fossa cause hypomobility of the mandible¹.

Intracapsular ankylosis can be classified into four different types⁶:

- type I: there is minimal bony fusion, but extensive fibrous adhesions around the joint
- type II: there is more bony fusion, especially at the outer edge of the joint surface, but no fusion within the more medial area of the joint
- type III: there is a bridge of bone between the mandible and the temporal bone
- type IV: the joint is replaced by a mass of bone.

¹ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School and Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University, Wuhan, P.R. China.

Corresponding author: Dr Xing LONG, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School and Hospital of Stomatology, Wuhan University, #237 Luoyu Road, Wuhan 430079, P.R. China. Tel: 86-10-87686110; E-mail: longxing_china@hotmail.com

Condylar fracture

Condylar fracture of the mandible is one of the most common types of facial fracture and accounts for 20% to 62% of mandibular fractures. Condylar fractures are classified into condylar head, condylar neck and subcondylar fractures, according to the anatomical location of the fracture⁷. Condylar head fracture is also called intracapsular fracture; the fractured condylar fragment can be minimally displaced or displaced out of the glenoid fossa. Condylar neck fracture is a fracture below the condylar head but above the level of the sigmoid notch, and it can be described as minimally displaced or dislocated. Subcondylar fracture is a fracture below the sigmoid notch and extending down towards the posterior border of the mandibular ramus.

Some authors have classified condylar fractures as intracapsular, high condylar neck and low condylar neck fractures⁸. Intracapsular fractures were rarely reported before the advent of combined conventional radiographic and computerised tomography (CT) examination. Intracapsular condylar fractures comprise three subtypes⁹:

- type A: the fracture passes through the medial condylar pole, without change to the vertical height of the mandibular ramus
- type B: the fracture passes through the lateral condylar pole, with loss of vertical height of the mandibular ramus
- type M: multiple fragments or comminuted fractures.

Arakeri et al have mentioned that ankylosis can result from sagittal fracture of the condylar head; the lateral fragment can be displaced upward, over the outer rim of the glenoid fossa, causing displacement of the disc and loss of mandibular movement. However, the role of sagittal condylar fracture in the aetiology of ankylosis is still controversial².

Animal model for condylar fracture and ankylosis

Based on clinical observations and animal experimental study, we have suggested that certain types of condylar fracture and disc play an important role in the development of TMJ ankylosis¹⁰. An animal model of type B intracapsular condylar head fracture using sheep was successfully established; the model showed progressive change toward ankylosis over time, consistent with the changes in the human TMJ¹¹. The pathological examination after intracapsular oblique vertical split fracture showed the joint spaces were filled with fibrous tissue, cartilage tissue and bone at different stages¹². Accord-

ing to the clinical observations and animal experimental study, we concluded that intracapsular condylar fracture – especially the condylar stump attached to the temporal bone, displaced condylar fragment and damaged disc and lateral capsule – play a role in the development of TMJ ankylosis.

Treatment of TMJ ankylosis

It is difficult to manage TMJ ankylosis because of the high rate of recurrence. Surgical treatments are variable and not very satisfactory^{5,7,13,14}. The most popular surgical treatments for TMJ ankylosis include gap arthroplasty, interpositional arthroplasty and joint reconstruction with autogenous or alloplastic materials^{7,13,15}.

Gap arthroplasty for type I and II ankylosis involves making a gap of 3 to 5 mm between the articular fossa and the condyle, and for type III and IV, the removal of the mass of bone to create a false joint. For type I, the fibrous adhesions can be released using TMJ arthroscopy. We have reported a case of bilateral TMJ fibrous ankylosis associated with ankylosing spondylitis that was successfully treated with TMJ arthroscopy¹⁶.

Interpositional arthroplasty using autogenous or alloplastic material at the gap site is a method for preventing bony fusion recurrence, and interpositional material is not required if the disc is intact^{5,15,17}. Various interpositional materials have been used, including temporal muscle, skin, homologous cartilage, silicon sheet, acrylic marbles, dermis¹⁸, silicone¹⁷ and cartilage¹⁹. The temporalis muscle is the most widely used interpositional material²⁰; autogenous auricular cartilage has also been used as an interpositional graft after gap arthroplasty for TMJ ankylosis²¹. However, there are significant disadvantage to using autogenous or alloplastic material in interpositional arthroplasty, owing to side effects at the donor site, resorption of autogenous material and foreign body reaction^{17,21}.

There are several methods that have recently shown good results for the treatment of traumatic TMJ ankylosis. Nitzan et al reported four cases of type III ankylosis with resection of the ankylosed sites leaving the displaced condyle and disc in their medial position. The remainder of the condylar stump was contoured, giving the condylar neck and head the final shape of an inverted 'J' and creating a large gap between the glenoid fossa and the stump. The follow-up showed excellent results²². That study proposes a hypothesis regarding the value of saving the fractured condyle and disc in the displaced position of the ankylosis. In our previous study the displaced condylar fragment was removed and the remains of the disc were mobilised by separat-

ing the bony fusion between the condylar stump and the lateral side of the fossa or eminence, indicating that bony ankylosis of TMJ mainly occurred in the lateral and posterior region of the joint; in addition, the disc is also dislocated medially and anteroinferiorly with the fragment¹⁰.

TMJ reconstruction may be necessary for patients with extensive osteotomy and shortage of mandibular ramus height. There are a number of different methods for TMJ reconstruction, such as costochondral grafts, clavicular osteochondral grafts, iliac crest grafts, coronoid process grafts, alloplastic condylar implants and distraction osteogenesis^{5,23-26}.

Treatment of condylar fracture

There is still some controversy over the management of mandibular condylar fractures. Fractures have been treated with either closed or open reduction, but with no difference in outcomes²⁷⁻²⁹.

Non-surgical methods are the most widely used approach for the treatment of condylar fractures in paediatric patients^{8,30-32}. In our previous study, screw-based semi-rigid intermaxillary fixation combined with an occlusal splint was used to treat paediatric mandibular condylar fractures. This kind of method provides early movement of the mandible with functional stimulation for remodelling of the fractured condyle³². De Riu et al point out that non-surgical methods can cause facial asymmetry and inclination of the occlusal plane in the long term because of incomplete anatomical restoration²⁶. Open reduction and rigid internal fixation reduce the condylar fragments to anatomic position, resulting in earlier bone healing and providing a more rapid and complete joint functional recovery. However, there are complications related to the surgical approaches and the methods of reduction and fixation.

Complications occur after the treatment of condylar fractures regardless of whether they are treated surgically or non-surgically. For surgical approaches, temporary or permanent facial nerve damage and visible scarring have been reported³³. Preauricular incision is the preferred choice for fractures of the condylar neck and head. The method of fixation is also determined by the type of fracture. Many methods have been described for the surgical treatment of condylar fractures, including the use of wire, lag screws, plates, screws and external fixators³⁴. Plates and screws have become the most frequently used method for osteosynthesis for condylar fractures. However, double miniplates are not suitable for higher fractures or with extraoral surgical access, because facial nerve damage may occur. Long

screws are suited to the sagittal condylar fracture, not only because of their advantages over miniplates or Kirschner wires for restoration of ramus height, but also because of their great biomechanical stability³⁵.

Conclusion

Ankylosis is most likely caused by intracapsular injury followed by insufficient jaw movement and a long period of intermaxillary fixation³⁶. It is clear that intracapsular condylar fracture, destruction of the condylar cartilage, capsular injury, displaced or broken disc and limited mandibular movement have resulted in TMJ ankylosis¹⁰. Management of TMJ ankylosis and condylar fracture is very important for preventing occurrence or recurrence of ankylosis.

References

1. Toyama M, Kurita K, Koga K et al. Ankylosis of the temporomandibular joint developing shortly after multiple facial fractures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;32:360-362.
2. Arakeri G, Kusanale A, Zaki GA et al. Pathogenesis of post-traumatic ankylosis of the temporomandibular joint: A critical review. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;50:8-12.
3. Ferretti C, Bryant R, Becker P et al. Temporomandibular joint morphology following post-traumatic ankylosis in 26 patients. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;34:376-381.
4. Chidzonga MM. Temporomandibular joint ankylosis: Review of thirty-two cases. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;37:123-126.
5. Kaban LB, Perrott DH, Fisher K. A protocol for management of temporomandibular joint ankylosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1990;48:1145-1151.
6. Sawhney CP. Bony ankylosis of the temporomandibular joint: Follow-up of 70 patients treated with arthroplasty and acrylic spacer interposition. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1986;77:29-40.
7. Marker P, Nielsen A, Bastian HL. Fractures of the mandibular condyle. Part 1: Patterns of distribution of types and causes of fractures in 348 patients. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000;38:417-421.
8. Hovinga J, Boering G, Stegenga B. Long-term results of nonsurgical management of condylar fractures in children. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;28:429-440.
9. Hlawitschka M, Eckelt U. Assessment of patients treated for intracapsular fractures of the mandibular condyle by closed techniques. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002;60:784-791.
10. Long X, Li X, Cheng Y et al. Preservation of disc for treatment of traumatic temporomandibular joint ankylosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2005;63:897-902.
11. Long X, Goss AN. A sheep model of intracapsular condylar fracture. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65:1102-1108.
12. Long X, Goss AN. Pathological changes after the surgical creation of a vertical intracapsular condylar fracture. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;36:834-837.
13. Danda AK, S R, ChinnaSwami R. Comparison of gap arthroplasty with and without a temporalis muscle flap for the treatment of ankylosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2009;67:1425-1431.
14. Erdem E, Alkan A. The use of acrylic marbles for interposition arthroplasty in the treatment of temporomandibular joint ankylosis: Follow-up of 47 cases. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;30:32-36.
15. Manganello-Souza LC, Mariani PB. Temporomandibular joint ankylosis: Report of 14 cases. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;32:24-29.

16. Qin L, Long X, Li X et al. Bilateral fibrous ankylosis of temporomandibular joint associated with ankylosing spondylitis: A case report. *J Bone Spine* 2006;73:576–578.
17. Matsuura H, Miyamoto H, Ogi N et al. The effect of gap arthroplasty on temporomandibular joint ankylosis: An experimental study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;30:431–437.
18. Dimitroulis G. The interpositional dermis-fat graft in the management of temporomandibular joint ankylosis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;33:755–760.
19. Lei Z. Auricular cartilage graft interposition after temporomandibular joint ankylosis surgery in children. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002;60:985–987.
20. Su-Gwan K. Treatment of temporomandibular joint ankylosis with temporalis muscle and fascia flap. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;30:189–193.
21. Saeed NR, Kent JN. A retrospective study of the costochondral graft in TMJ reconstruction. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;32:606–609.
22. Nitzan DW, Bar-Ziv J, Shteyer A. Surgical management of temporomandibular joint ankylosis type III by retaining the displaced condyle and disc. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1998;56:1133–1138.
23. MacIntosh RB. The use of autogenous tissues for temporomandibular joint reconstruction. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000;58:63–69.
24. Hong Y, Gu X, Feng X et al. Modified coronoid process grafts combined with sagittal split osteotomy for treatment of bilateral temporomandibular joint ankylosis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002;60:11–18.
25. Ko EW, Huang CS, Chen YR. Temporomandibular joint reconstruction in children using costochondral grafts. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;57:789–798.
26. Yoon HJ, Kim HG. Intraoral mandibular distraction osteogenesis in facial asymmetry patients with unilateral temporomandibular joint bony ankylosis. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002;31:544–548.
27. De Riu G, Gamba U, Anghioni M et al. A comparison of open and closed treatment of condylar fractures: A change in philosophy. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;30:384–389.
28. Haug RH, Assael LA. Outcomes of open versus closed treatment of mandibular subcondylar fractures. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;59:370–375.
29. Santler G, Karcher H, Ruda C et al. Fractures of the condylar process: Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;57:392–397.
30. Norholt SE, Krishnan V, Sindet-Pedersen S et al. Pediatric condylar fractures: A long-term follow-up study of 55 patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1993;51:1302–1310.
31. Strobl H, Emshoff R, Rothler G. Conservative treatment of unilateral condylar fractures in children: A long-term clinical and radiologic follow-up of 55 patients. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;28:95–98.
32. Wu Y, Long X, Fang W et al. Management of paediatric mandibular condylar fractures with screw-based semi-rigid intermaxillary fixation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;41:55–60.
33. Abdel-Galil K, Loukota R. Fractures of the mandibular condyle: Evidence base and current concepts of management. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;48:520–526.
34. Villarreal PM, Monje F, Junquera LM et al. Mandibular condyle fractures: Determinants of treatment and outcome. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;62:155–163.
35. Luo S, Li B, Long X et al. Surgical treatment of sagittal fracture of mandibular condyle using long-screw osteosynthesis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2011;69:1988–1994.
36. Ortak T, Ulusoy MG, Sungur N et al. Silicon in temporomandibular joint ankylosis surgery. *J Craniofac Surg* 2001;12:232–236.

